App. F. IX-1

Previous References

192 .

( )

File No.

Serial Nos.

Later References

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Serial Nos. 5

Part B for December 1929

SUBJECT

Appeal petition of Venkoba Rao Sabbaji of Manchenahalli re management, fixing a scale of pay & some allowances & appointing & charge duties to Sri Venkataramana & Anganna Swami temple &c

RC 1265 dated 23.12.29 To the Mang. Comr

Decides that the petnr. & his brother may be allowed to manage the temple as to other duties under certain conditions

G. R. S. APP F.III—1] 1928

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(Muzrai)

NOTES.

File No. 4-10-29

FILE HEADING.

Management of Sri Venkataramana and Anjaneya Swamy temples at Manchenahalli village, Goribidanur Taluk.

FROM

Venkoba Rao Subbaji of Manchenahalli.

Appeal Petition Dated Received 25.6.29

Diary No.	Enclos.	Spare copies	Serial No.
1985			1

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

Appeal against the M.C's order sanctioning a scheme of management (fixing a scale & appointing Dharmadarshi) for the above mutts and prays for a stay order pending disposal of the appeal -

NOTES AND ORDERS.

R

The appeal petition is duly stamped. An authenticated copy of the M.C's order appealed against has been produced.

M.C's order is dated 8.5.29 while the petition was received on 29.6.29. The appeal is in time

NOTES—First Sheet.

General and Revenue Secretariat

File No.

Serial No.

R

1 Memo — Mr. C's order explains the
2 the case
3 May a report with records
A be called for in the appeal peti-
4 tioners solicitor on the apts'
P prayer for a stay order —
Submit for orders.
AV CJ
1.7.29

HJ
2.7.29

1st Memo) Exp 1/7/29

5 A Copies
6 R - Fix Stay order. Can not
Board
C.J.
C.J. 2/7

7 Dfts. Submit.
AV
5.7.29.

8 Done
W
5/7

LWS
5/7

2

LWS
16/7

Note—Contn. Sheet

WD 01684—GPB—30,000—20-1-29

Serial No.

From, The D C Letter no. 102 Dy 20. 9. 29.

Submits the report with records called for in Sl. 2.

2 The M. Rs under Dy 8-8-29 explains the facts of the case.

10 The temple of Sri Venkataramana-Swamy and Sri Anjaneya Swamy at Manchenahalli, Sorikdanur Taluk, is a muz. inam endowed with an annual cash grant of Rs 130-15-0, together with a sum of Rs 100 being the Mohatarfa on houses in Dharmadaya Inam S. no. 155 of Manchenahalli granted to Diwan Babu Rau for the construction of the said temple and for Agrahara houses. (Vide Inam Register copy). In his report Dy 22nd May 1929, the Amildar reported that with a view to facilitate the execution of repairs, and also to arrange for the regular performance of worship, it was desirable that a scale should be fixed, and the D C has accordingly sanctioned a scale of income and expenditure providing a very small sum of ~~Rs~~ 15-3-0 a year towards the temple income for repairs. Venkobha Rau Subhaji, great grandson of Diwan Babu-Rau appealed to the M R, who directed that the action taken by the Local authorities be

WD 01684—GPB—30,000—20-1-29

General and Revenue Secretariat. | File No. 1410 of 1926

Serial No.

owing to the neglect and indifference of the descendants of Babu Rau and declined to comply with the request of the apltt and his brother to be appointed as Sole Dharmadarsis.

(1) Against the order, Sri Venkoba Rau has appealed to Govt. The grounds for appeal are summarized in the petition.

(2) The grounds of appeal are that the apltt has not been heard so far as the temple puja and management are concerned, that no opportunity has been given to the petr to show the accounts maintained by them, that they have been managing the instn properly often spending money from their pocket as the Govt grant is insufficient and they pray that the management may be restored to them after taking security from them for the proper management of the instn.

(3) The records show that the apltt were not given an opportunity to produce accts etc. The Asstt Commissioner's report shows that management of the two

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 4WD of 192 9

Serial No.

was assumed as prayed for by the apte. Sathiru Subba Rau. (in his petition dy 8-5-1905) "In his subsequent petition dy 22-11-1910, the same Subba-y stated that as he had settled down in Manchenahalli and was personally attending to the temple affairs as per manual, he was unwilling to transfer the management & prayed, ~~and that~~ his petition of 1905 might be treated as having been cancelled.

15 If decided, this appeal may be posted for hearing, notice being given to the appellant Subbiah Rao under &c.

AE 13/10/29 for Registrar 29/10/29

Members oral orders
Posted for 9-12-29

7.10.29

Notice issued

(2nd round)
The above was posted for

16 A. Nyas 7.12.29

17 case heard on 9.12.29. The apte Venkoba Rao Subhaji was present and represented by Mr. M. Chinna Swamy Aiyr.

General and Revenue Secretariat. MW/7 File No. 4660 of 1928.

3542/6/12

Serial No. 18

The petition dt 11-12-29 presented by Mr. Venkobarao Subbaji is placed in the file

Order

The petitioner represents that he would make an annual Cash payment of Rs 250 towards the expenses of the Car festival and that he would carry out at his own expense repairs to the Agrahar houses and also contribute one-half of the cost necessary for rebuilding the 'Prakara' of the temple. In consideration of the interest of the family in the institution indicated by these contributions he requests that he and his brother may be appointed as the Sole Dharmadarsis of the temple. The institution has now only a Cash grant of Rs 120-15-0 and the contributions promised by the petitioner would improve its resources and help to maintain it in a better condition. In view of this fact and of the long association of the family of the petitioner with the institution his request is deserving of consideration. He and his brother may be allowed to manage the temple as Sole Dharmadarsis if they agree to the following conditions and carry them out strictly:-

1. They should pay Rs 250 every year in four quarterly instalments on 1st January, April, July and October towards the expenses of the Car festival.
2. The Agrahar houses should always be kept in a condition of good repair.

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

WD 066—GPB—30,000—26-7-29

Serial No.

3. The whole cost of restoring the 'Prakara' of the temple should be borne by them and this work should be completed within the period fixed by the Dy Cr..

4. All receipts of the temple from whatever source derived should be kept in the Court Savings Bank in an acct in the name of the temple and all moneys required for expenses should be drawn from this account. One of the brothers may be authorised to operate on this acct.

5. The tasdik grant is stated to have been stopped from 1927 and the petitioner represents that he has incurred an expenditure of Rs 353-14-6- in carrying out the usual Sevas in the temple. The petitioner should not claim any refund of the expenditure incurred by him. The arrear grants due to the temple should be credited to its Savings Bank Acct.

6 Proper accts should be kept of all receipts and Charges of the temple and produced for inspection whenever called for. All items of expenditure should be supported by proper receipts

Note: Contn. sheet

General and Revenue Secretariat. Mlg File No. 440 of 1928

Serial No.

23

D/R. endd abt. submtg a s directg. ag Affdt appl, both the S.O.s & the endt. thereon issue

Submtd
Ar
23/12/29

As a copy of the order may be sent to the applicant as it contains conditions to be observed by him which he should know

23/12

hlw
23/12

to.

5

The Mag Coma
no 1268 & 30-12-29.
Directs that the Venkatarao Subbaji and his brothers may be appointed sole dharmadarsis on certain conditions viz paying Rs 250/- for annual jatra [illegible]

B
31.12.28
30/1
13

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

WD 066—GPB—30,000—26-7-29



BEFORE THE GOVT. OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE,

BANGALORE.

Muzrai Revision No. of 1928-29.

Venkoba Rao Subbaji son of Yajaman Subba Rao, Desastha Brahmin. Aged about 31 Land-holder residing at Manchenahalli, Goribidnur Taluk. ... Petitioner.

Revision under Sec.22 of the Mysore Muzrai Regulation No.VI of 1913 against the order of the Mazrai commissioner in Mazrai appeal No. 12 of 28-29 confirming the order of the Deputy Commissioner and District Mazrai Officer, Kolar District fixing a regular scale of income and expenditure to the temples of Sri Venkatramana and Anjanaya Swamis of Manchenahalli Village, Goribidnur Taluk, Kolar District.

The petitioner abovenamed begs to state as follows:-

1. In 1831 Musaheb Dewan Babu Rao petitioned H.H.The Maharaja of Mysore, Sri Krishnaraja-Wadiar II ~~memory~~ to convert his land of 5½ varahas in Manchenahalli Village, Goribidnur Taluk on which he wanted to build an agrahar and temples of Venkatramana and Anjanaya into an Inam and he also prayed that a cash ~~granted~~ may be sanctioned for the upkeep of the temples.

2. On 26-4-1831 His Highness granted his prayers and ordered by a Royal sunnad that the lands should be converted into a Sarvamanya Inam and also sanctioned a cash grant of 60 varahas per annum from the date of pratistha of the dieties.

3. Dewan Babu Rao constructed a temple

and also built several house, now in the occupation of vrittidars and servants of the temple.

4. Dewan Babu Rao during his life time and his discendants after his death received the cash grant of Rs.130-15-0 from Government for the past 100 years and have been managing the institution properly.

5. Recently disputes having arisen between the Shanbogue of Manchenahalli and the petitioner, the shanbogue out of sheerzid made a false report to the amildar about the management of the institution stated above. On the report of the amildar made without enquiries or notice to the petitioner the District Muzrais Officer passed the order now confirmed by the Muzrais Commissioner without instituting enquiries or hearing the petitioner. It is against this order of the Muzrais Commissioner that the present revision is filed.

6. The Muzrais Commissioner erred in not noticing that the order of the District Muzrais Officer was illegal as it was not based on any enquiry as required by Section 8 of the Muzrais Regulation and as the order was passed behind the back of the petitioner without hearing and notifying him.

7. The Lower Court erred in not considering the terms of the grant to Dewan Babu Rao while passing the order.

8. The petitioner and his borther have kept regular accounts of the income and expenditure of the institution from the day it came under their management. The muzrais officers have not till now given an opportunity to the petitioner to show the

accounts to them and explain matters.

9. The lower court erred in ordering a scheme without assigning proper reasons therefor. The District Muzrais officer's order does not disclose why he had to take such steps and whether he did so after adopting the regular procedure ~~paraisted~~ prescribed by the Muzrais Regulation.

10. The petitioner and his brother have been managing the institution properly often spending money out of their own pocket as the Govt.Grant and the income from the properties are insufficient to meet the necessary expenses.

11. The petitioner and his brother are ready if necessary to give security for the proper management of the property and institution as required by Sec.9 Clause 3 of the Mysore Muzrais Regulation.

12. The order passed by the learned District Muzrais officer is against law and facts and probabilities of the case.

13. Wherefore the petitioner prays that the order of the Muzrais commissioner be set aside and the petitioner be allowed to manage the institution as before if necessary after taking security from the petitioner according to Sec.9 clause 3 of the Muzrais Regulation.

14. Pending the disposal of this Revision the petitioner prays that the order of the Murzais commissioner be stayed.

Bangalore
25-6-29.

M. Chinnaswamy
Advocate.

Petitioner.





M. CHINNASWAMY, B.A., B.L.,
AND
M. SUNDAR RAJ, B.A., B.L.,
ADVOCATES

Before the govt: of H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore
~~In the Court of~~ Bangalore.

Miscl Petition No. OF 1928-29.

petitioner

Venkoba Rao Shivaji Vs.

1. I the petitioner ...above named do, by these presents retain Messrs. M. CHINNASWAMY, B.A.,B.L. & M. SUNDAR RAJ, B.A.,B.L., Advocates to appear and conduct the above case on my behalf to judgment.

2. I further empower them to do all necessary acts in the above case, to execute any decree or order that may be passed in my behalf and to receive from the Court all documents, refunds and all sums of money that I may be entitled to get and grant receipts therefor.

3. I also undertake to confirm and ratify all the acts done by the said Advocates or by any other Advocate on their behalf in so doing.

25. 6. 1929.
BANGALORE.

ಶ್ರೀ ಶ್ರೀನಿವಾಸ ಶಿವಾಜಿ ರಾವ್

Personally known to me and signed in my presence.

MC

Advocate for petitioner

Accepted.
M. Chinnaswamy
M. Sundar Raj
Advocates

B. S Iyengar, Printer, B-City.

Appendix F G—1

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

Date of issue 11/7/29

Signed by Mr V.K. Ramachandra

List of enclosures .....

Copied by.....

Examined by.....

a D/r

Section Inam

File No. 440-28

Serial No. 2

No. 82 Inam file

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the 192

To

The M. C.

Sir,

I am directed to forward herewith for report with reference petition no. 1985 from Venkoba Rao Subbaji of Mandhenahalli, Goribidanur Taluk, appealing against your order sanctioning a scheme of management in respect of Sri Venkataramana and Anjaneya swamy temples at the place, and to state that the appl- has been separately over

that no Stay order can be
issued as prayed for by him.

I have etc

Dtd Sd/- Secy. 838 11/11

Encl

Venkobia Aru Subhaji of
Manchenahalli, Gauribidanur Taluk
is informed that a report
has been called for on his
~~appeal~~ petition No. 1985 ~~appealing~~
against the ~~order~~ of Sri Venkataramana
and Anjaneya Swamy temples
at the place, and that no
Stay order can be issued
as prayed for by him

B.O

Dtd Sd/- Secy

Um

OFFICE OF THE MUZRAI COMMISSIONER IN MYSORE,

Bangalore, 17th September 192 20

No. 102 Ex 3 file, 29-30

FROM

A. R. Syed Haji Ameran, Esq., B.A.,
The Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore





P. Srinivasa Iyengar, Esq., B.A., B.L., W-503
Secretary to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
Financial Department.

SIR,

With reference to your letter No.82/Mu? 410-?3-? dated 11th July 1929 forwarding for report with reference petition from Venkoba Row Subbaji of Vanchenahalli, Goribidnur Taluk, appealing against this office order sanctioning a scheme of management in respect of Sri Venkataramanaswami and Anjaneyaswamy temples at the place, I have the honor to forward herewith the connected records received with the Kolar District Deputy Commissioner's letter No.A2-3.360 of 29-30 dated 31st August 1929 (copy herein enclosed) together with this office file No.D1 Dis.270 of 28-29 dated 8th May 1929 containing the order appealed against the preamble of which explains the facts of the case.

The appeal petition together with its enclosures received with your letter under reference is herewith returned.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant.

Ry Narasimha Ayyangar
for Muzrai Commissioner.
(Absent from Station)

Letter No.A2-C.360/29-30 dated 31st August 1929 from the Deputy Commissioner, Kolar District to the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

Subject:- Management-Sri Venkataramana and Anjaneyaswami temples Manchenahalli - Gorbidanur Taluk.

With reference to your memo No.102/29-30 dated 20-7-1929 on the above subject, I have the honor to forward herewith the file of correspondence ending with the Chickballapur Sub Division Officer's No.S1.C.107/29-30 dated 8-8-1929 together with this office file No.D1 Dis.A2 C.845/28-29 in which the facts of the case have been set forth.

The enclosures received with your memo under reply are enclosed in the file.

"Copy"

For Muzrai Commissioner.

Before the Government of His Highness the Maharaja

of Mysore, Bangalore.

Muzrai Appeal No. 12 of 1928-1929.

======:o:======

Petitioner:- Venkoba Rao Subbaji

Manchanahalli,

Goribidnur Taluk,

Kolar District.

As ordered by the First Member in Council on 9/12/29 when the above case was heard, the petitioner abovenamed begs to furnish herewith the necessary information required with regard to what the petitioner has done and is willing in future to do for the temple of Venkatramanaswamy in Manchanahalli, Goribidnur Taluk, Kolar District:-

1. The Government grant was stopped in March 1927, from that date the petitioner is carrying on the usual worship of the God spending money from his own pocket from March 1927 up to November 1929 he has spent from his own hands a total sum of Rs 353-14-6. A detailed extract of the account is herewith annexed for kind perusal. Proper receipts and signatures are taken in the account books kept by the petitioner for the above sums. The petitioner is ready to produce the books at any time he is called to do so.

2. The annual Car Festival is celebrated in a grand scale and the petitioner spends about 250/= every year

year from his own pocket. He is willing to continue the same and celebrate every year the annual Car Festival as usual.

3. The Agrahar houses were in need of bad repairs and the petitioner has repaired most of the houses and he will do so in future also.

4. A portion of the "Prakara" of the temple has come down. When the Government was petitioned in 1915 it issued an endorsement that petitioner should meet half the cost of the repair which may come up to Rs 400/=. The petitioner is willing to contribute that amount whenever the Government calls upon him to do so.

5. He is willing to abide by all the reasonable conditions which the Government may impose upon him as regards keeping of accounts, inspection by local officers and submitting annual reports.

6. If necessary he is ready to give reasonable security that he will carry on the work of the temple properly.

7. The petitioner's only prayer is that he and his descendants be allowed to carry on the sacred work initiated by his ancestors Dewan Babu Rao.

Wherefore he respectfully prays that orders may be passed as prayed for in the appeal.

Bangalore,
11th December 1929

Petitioner.

M. Chinnaswamy
Advocate
19.12.29.

Before the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

Muzrai Appeal No. 12 of 1929-30.

Appellant - Venkoba Rao Subbaji of Manchenahalli, Goribidanur Taluk.

S u b j e c t .

Appeal against the Muzrai Commissioner's order sanctioning a scheme of management - fixing a scale of income and expenditure and appointing Dharmadarsis &c. to Sri Venkataramana and Anjaneyaswamy temples at Manchenahalli village, Goribidanur Taluk.

This case was heard on 9th ~~October~~ December 1929, the appellant Venkoba Rao Subbaji being present and represented by his Advocate Mr. Chinnaswamy.

O r d e r .

The petitioner represents that he would make an annual cash payment of Rs. 250/- towards the expenses of the car festival and that he would carry out at his own expense repairs to the Agrahar houses and also contribute one-half of the cost necessary for rebuilding the "Prakara" of the temple. In consideration of the interest of the family in the institution indicated by these contributions he requests that he and his brother may be appointed as the sole Dharmadarsis of the temple The Institution has now only a cash grant of Rs. 130-10-0 and the contribution promised by the petitioner would improve its resources and help to maintain it in a better condition. In view of this fact and of the long association of the family of the petitioner with the institution his request is deserving

of consideration. He and his brother may be allowed to manage the temple as Sole Dharmadarsis if they agree to the following conditions and carry them out strictly:-

1. They should pay Rs. 250/- every year in four quarterly instalments on 1st January, April, July and October towards the expenses of the car festival.

2. The Agrahar houses should always be kept in a condition of good repair.

3. The whole cost of restoring the "Prakara" of the temple should be borne by them and this work should be completed within the period fixed by the Deputy Commissioner.

4. All receipts of the temple from whatever source derived should be kept in the Government Savings Bank in an account in the name of the temple and all moneys required for expenses should be drawn from this account One of the brothers may be authorised to operate on this account.

5. The tasdik grant is stated to have been stopped from 1927 and the petitioner represents that he has incurred an expenditure of Rs. 353-14-6 in carrying out the usual Sevas in the temple. The petitioner should not claim any refund of the expenditure incurred by him. The arrear grants due to the temple should be credited to its Savings Bank Account.

6. Proper accounts should be kept of all receipts and charges of the temple and produced for inspection whenever called for. All items of expenditure should be supported by payee's receipts.

* * * * * * * * * * * * * *

Memo No. dated, Bangalore, the 23rd December 1929

Copy of the above is forwarded with compliments to the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore, with the records received with his letter No. 102 dated 20th September 1929.

By order,

for Secretary to Government,
Financial Department.

Before the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

2 PKS

Appeal No. 12 of 1929-30

Appellant. Vs. Respondent

Venkoba Rao
Subhaji of Manchenahalli
Goribidanur Taluk

Subject.

Appeal against the order sanctioning a Scheme of management - fixing a Scale of income and expdr & appointing Dharmadarshi &c. to Sri Venkataramana and Anjaneya Swamy temples, at Manchenahalli Village, Goribidanur Taluk -

This case was heard on 9th Dec 1929 the appl Venkoba Rao Subhaji being present and represented by his Advocate Mr. [illegible]

GPB-500-12-8-18

Order.

Copy members minutes pages 6 & 7 of the office note from "the pole receipts"

Memo 1265 dated 23.12.29

Copy to the M.C. Mus 4140-28-5 with the records received with his letter no 102 dt 20.9.29.

B.O.

2/1 Secty

~~Copy to the Secretaria~~

RR 1266.

Copy to the appellants for info[illegible]

23/12

25 Nov 53

Pass. no. 124 15 has been
Sent to the Italy with Miss Ogden
NW: 1879 4-29-53